In: KSC-BC-2023-10

Specialist Prosecutor v. Sabit Januzi, Ismet Bahtijari and Haxhi

Shala

Before: Pre-Trial Judge

Judge Nicolas Guillou

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor's Office

Date: 26 February 2024

English Language:

Classification: **Public**

Public redacted version of 'Prosecution reply to F00179'

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Counsel for Sabit Januzi

Kimberly P. West Jonathan Elystan Rees

Counsel for Ismet Bahtijari

Felicity Gerry

Counsel for Haxhi Shala

Toby Cadman

PUBLIC
Date original: 26/02/2024 14:34:00
Date public redacted version: 03/04/2024 16:31:00

1. The Response¹ should be rejected, and the Specialist Prosecutor's Office should be

allowed to disclose the Further Materials² and have them included in the Exhibit List.³

The Response raises irrelevant issues and relies on demonstrably incorrect conjecture,

ultimately failing to establish any reason why the Request should not be granted by the

Pre-Trial Judge.

2. SHALA concedes in the Response – as he must – that the Pre-Trial Judge needs

only to satisfy himself that the proposed evidence is *prima facie* relevant and of sufficient

importance to justify addition to the Exhibit List, without prejudice to any future

determination of admissibility or weight.4

3. SHALA's sole argument against prima facie relevance is premised on the

demonstrably incorrect conjecture that since the relevant phone containing the Further

Materials was purchased after the seizure of his other phone on 3 May 2023, the relevant

phone 'only holds information from long *after* the period within which it is alleged that

the Accused committed the criminal offences with which he has been charged'. 5 In

addition to failing to explain how the Further Materials 'do not achieve the threshold of

¹ Response to Prosecution Request for authorisation for Rule 102(1)(b) disclosure, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00179, 19 February 2024, Confidential ('Response').

² As defined in paras 4-5 of the Request (Prosecution submission of Rule 109(c) chart and witness and exhibit lists and related request for Rule 102(1)(b) disclosure with confidential Annexes 1-3, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00164 ('Request')). The Further Materials consist of the forensic image of a phone seized from SHALA on 11 December 2023 during his arrest, as well as extracts of records, including text messages and call log records, taken from the forensic image.

³ As defined in para.2 of the Request.

⁴ Response, KSC-BC-BC-2023-10/F00179, para.6

⁵ Response, KSC-BC-BC-2023-10/F00179, para.6

PUBLIC
Date original: 26/02/2024 14:34:00
Date public redacted version: 03/04/2024 16:31:00

prima facie relevance'⁶ or how he is, if at all, unfairly prejudiced,⁷ SHALA's conjecture is both incorrect as to relevance,⁸ and, ultimately, not dispositive of the issue.⁹

- 4. In any case, a plain reading of the descriptions for the extracts¹⁰ alone demonstrates the *prima facie* relevance of the Further Materials to, *inter alia*, [REDACTED]. As such, the Further Materials are *prima facie* relevant and of sufficient importance to justify their addition to the Exhibit List.
- 5. The remaining issue raised is not relevant to the Request, and fails to state a legal basis for the relief requested.¹¹
- 6. For the foregoing reasons and those given previously, the Request should be granted.
- 7. This filing is submitted as confidential in accordance with Rule 82(4).

Word count: 548

Kimberly P. West

Specialist Prosecutor

Monday, 26 February 2024

At The Hague, the Netherlands

⁶ Response, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00179, para.8.

⁷ Response, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00179, para.8.

^{8 [}REDACTED].

⁹ Evidence of communications subsequent to 'the period within which it is alleged that the Accused committed the criminal offences with which he has been charged' could be relevant for a variety of reasons.

¹⁰ The descriptions from the Exhibit List of the extracts, [REDACTED].

¹¹ See Response, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00179, paras 9, 12(ii).